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#### Abstract

Introduction The time and attention of a graph viewer, and the time of a graph creator, are precious resources. Are you troubled by graphic feature/option over-choice, special effects gimmicks, visual clutter enablers, and uninspired defaults? This therapeutic paper for victims of VCTT (Visual Communication Technology Trauma) will help you create powerful presentation materials, and graphic reports digestible at a glance, to prevent the disappointing question, "What's your point?" SAS/GRAPH* tips are given, but most ideas are software-independent. Emphasis is on design principles and innovative graphing techniques, that you can use to inform and to influence.

\section*{Design for Communication} "Put it before them-- briefly . . . so they will read it, clearly . . . so they will appreciate it, picturesquely . . . so they will remember it, and, above all, accurately . . . so they will be guided by its light."


Joseph Pulitzer
"Simplicity acts like an oasis in the desert."
Jan White
"Good Design should:
be purposeful; simplify; unify; organize; provide contrast; project an appropriate image; selectively emphasize; use restraint; save time; speed production; rely on editing, not compromise."

Roger C. Parker
"Design to inform and to influence, not to impress."
LeRB
Defaults vs. Elegant Customization (Figures 2, 3)

- Software \& hardware are power tools, but yield potentially lots of sub-optimal results quickly
- Simple graphs focus on the message, the data
- Suppress/avoid inessential graphic elements

Middle of the Road: No Defaults, No Decoration
Software defaults reflect the grid-and-pen-based laboratory report tradition. Overriding the defaults requires more work, but abstaining from decoration requires less work.

## Special Effects Are For Movies

Good design \& interesting data can stand on their own. Productivity \& communication are the real objectives. Omit the drop-shadow, shaded background, clip art, etc.

## Just Say "No" to the Designer Drug 3D

- 3D pie charts--always distortion
- 3D bar charts--needless complexity
- 3D maps--hard to use, some parts hidden
- Exception: Use PROC G3D for a 3-variable plot

Consistency: Define a style, and stick to it

- For titles, footnotes, notes, font choices \& sizes, symbols, line types, etc.
- Consistency breeds/speeds comprehension-the viewer needn't "recalibrate" page-to-page
- Productivity--preparer is spared over-choice: less decision-making, fewer iterations
- Implement standard formats with SAS* macros


## Text Is Essential--Handle With Care

- If the letters or numbers aren't readable, change the design or abandon the chart.
- Usually use black--most readable Can emphasize with italics (or bold)
- Use mixed upper and lower case-written communication standard, easier to read
- Keep it brief: Focus attention with sparse text
- Not doing science--usually suppress decimals Footnote any imperfect sum of rounded values
- Make title the headline, main message of graph

Limit Font Styles to Two, Sizes to Three

- Fancy font: maybe title, footnotes, legend
- Fancy software fonts increase processing time, print file size, and print time. Use default characters if you like the printed result. Requires F=NONE (or FTEXT=NONE for parts for which $F$ parameter unavailable), or not specifying $F=$ ( $\mathrm{FTEXT}=$ ) at all; requires override of fancy font that is SAS/GRAPH default for TITLE1.
- Titles (usually all one size), maybe $\mathrm{H}>1$ Footnotes smaller if to be downplayed Body text usually $\mathrm{H}=1$, smaller if dense


## Remove Axis Clutter (Figures 3, 4, \& 6-12)

- Turn off axis lines--they tell nothing
- Turn off tick marks
- If not turning off axis labels, supply your own
- Label (invisible) tick marks sparingly Use Sparse Annotation whenever possible


## Axis Range Affects the Message

- Start axis at zero, not the SAS/GRAPH default De-accentuate fluctuations. Prevent needless anxiety, questions. Concern should be triggered by a measurement that fails or crosses management's pre-defined goal or threshoid, not by insignificant bumps or dips.
De-accentuate change. Prevent needless elation or alarm. Growth or decline should be judged by the size of the numeric or percent change of a measurement, and by the practical effect of that change, not by the steepness of the slope of a trend, which is controlled by an arbitrary choice of axis range.
- For percents, use range 0-100, label the ends

Bar length $=$ visual percent Absolute maximum is natural choice

- For trend chart issued monthly, use fixed number of months: either January to December (same or multiple years), or Report Month $N$ Years Ago to Current Report Month


## Use Color If Needed

- No response levels or categories--black \& white
- Few levels or categories--gray shades maybe
- Many levels or categories--color necessary
- Black-and-white hardcopy is:
faster, cheaper, more reliable;
easier to use--simpler equipment,
no agonizing over color strategy;
more copyable--more, cheaper, faster BW
copiers available--good graphs get copied
For more about color, see my paper "Color Smart: Design Applications for Effective Visual Communication", elsewhere in this Proceedings.


## Usually Omit Area Fill

- Beneath line(s)--always
- In pie slices, unless for a presentation, or for my New, Improved Pie Chart (Figure 5)
- On simple bar charts--but maybe light gray, especially if bars close together


## Avoid Ugly Area Fill

- To carry information, use solid colors or grays.
- Reluctantly use parallel lines or cross-hatching, in desperation only; never use them on maps.
Make Plots Easy to Interpret, Easy to Look At
- Use Sparse Annotation (see Figures 11 \& 12)

Focus on start, end, \& critical points
Other details are best provided in a table
Sparse Annotation makes the graph talk

- Use $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{NONE}$ for plots, if possible Can use $\mathrm{W}=$ to distinguish multi-line, rather than $V=$, if only two lines
- For point detection, not just trend, use:
$\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{DOT}$ (this is a BIG dot)
$V=$ CIRCLE
$V=-$ (traditional, circle around small dot)
- Avoid grid lines; if not, use fine line $L=33$

Use Simple or Side-By-Side Vertical Bar Charts

- Put values at ends of a bar chart
(See Figures 6 \& 7)
- Use side-by-side, not stacked, bars (Compare Figures 8 \& 9 )


## Why and How to Supply Detail for Graphs

A chart can both depict relative size, and supply detail. Presentations or reports that deliver both image (impact) and numbers (precision) are memorable, quickly and easily comprehended, and both influencing and reliable for decision-making.
Effective ways to supply detail are shown in Figures 1, 3-7, 11, and 12. But sometimes a companion table is the best solution, as shown in Figure 10.

## How to Choose Between Lines, Bars, Pies

Line charts (plots) show trends or relationships. A side-by-side bar chart works better than a multi-line chart if there would be too much crisscrossing. When annotating, a simple bar chart is better than a jagged single-line chart, to avoid obscuring the values.
Bar charts can display changes or compare magnitudes. SAS/GRAPH pie charts lose slice-related text if slices are too many or too small. A Custom Horizontal Bar Chart (Figures 3 \& 4) solves that problem.
Sequence the Bars or Slices (Figures 3, 4, \& 5)
The default order for SAS/GRAPH bar and pie charts is alphabetic order of bar and slice name (MIDPOINT value). To enable rapid identification and assessment of categories of significance, order chart entries by decreasing value of the response.

## "De-alphabetize" the DESCENDING Pie Chart

PATTERNs are assigned by SAS/GRAPH to pie chart slices in alphabetic order of slice name. If, however, you want DESCENDING slices, and the colors to be arranged, e.g., from light to dark, then, to get pattern colors ordered by slice size, you must first determine the "size order for slice names".

## Control Pie Labels

- Specify NOHEADING and OUTSIDE
- Do not match color of label to that of slice--e.g., yellow text on white paper is impossible to read
- SAS/GRAPH appends .0 to integer VALUEs: Suppress with FORMAT statement

Be Careful with the Ple Chart PERCENT Feature

- \% only displayed at tenths or hundredths; if input VALUE to tenths, \% to tenths; if input VALUE to hundredths, thousandths, or "finer", \% to hundredths.
- Get \% at tenths for any VALUE finer than tenths, by reformatting input to GCHART:
TOGCHART = ROUND(VALUE,0.1);
- If input VALUE is integer, or integer with zero(s) to right of decimal point, SAS/GRAPH insists on displaying \% to hundredths--no circumventions.


## Try My New, Improved Pie Chart (Figure 5)

Release 6.10 of SAS/GRAPH does provide a pie chart legend for slice names. But the legend in my New, Improved Pie Chart provides more information.
The usual SAS/GRAPH pie chart, even with legend, is still vulnerable to disappearing Value and Percent text when pie slices are too many or too small.

## Use the Powerful "Pac-Man Pie Chart" (Figure 1)

The idea of a two-part pie chart may seem trivial, if not silly. But when the share of interest to your message is either tiny or huge, the image is very "impacttul" and, therefore, memorable.
Images stick, long after numbers are forgotten.
Images, added to text, have been found to improve, e.g., effectiveness of fundraising and memory of the request. (Such images were thematic symbols, not photos staged or picked for emotional response.)
In the second case, you can easily satisfy curiosity-if any--about the large "Other" with a table displayed below the pie chart. But it is essential to not blunt the visual message by spliting the big wedge into a lot of little ones which may be as small as or smaller than the wedge whose smallness you wish to emphasize.

## Notices

SAS/GRAPH and SAS are registered trademarks or trademarks of SAS Institute Inc. in the USA and other countries. * denotes USA registration. Pac-Man is a registered trademark of Namco Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.
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## IBM Mainframe Data Analysis Software Market Shares

SAS - 91\%


Source: Computer Intelligence, 1993
Allocation of Personal Time ("Other" Probably Exaggerated)

Other


Fun - $9 \boldsymbol{x}$

Source: Ascetic Life, 1 April 1997
Figure 1. Pac-Man Pie Charts Are Powerful

# 1990 Population in the European Community，By Country （in Millions） 

| INMIDPT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RESPONSE SUM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.9 |
| Denmark | 狂 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.1 |
| France | ） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.4 |
| Germany | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.5 |
| Greece | 洨 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Ireland | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 |
| Italy | ＜ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.7 |
| Luxembourg | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Netherlands | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.9 |
| Portugal | 次 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Spain | ｜ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.3 |
| U．K． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.4 |
|  | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 8 |  |  |

Source：＂The World Factbook 1990＂
Figure 2．Default Horizontal Bar Chart

1990 Population in the European Community，By Country


Total $=343.5$
Source：＂The World Factbook 1990＂

Figure 3．Custom Horizontal Bar Chart

State Name，Percent of USA Total，and Employee Count

| California | 10．9\％ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York | 8．6\％\％ | W 1，123，044 |
| Texas | 7．2\％ | 951，388 |
| Florida | 5．0\％ |  |
| Illinois |  |  |
| Ohio | 4．0\％［\％ 530,147 |  |
| Pennsylvania |  |  |
| Michigan | $3.7 \%$ 限 |  |
| New Jersey | 3．2\％\％ |  |
| Georgia | 2．9\％rex |  |
| North Carolina |  |  |
| Virginia |  |  |
| Indiana | 2．2\％［ए． 288,789 |  |
| Massachusetts | 2．1\％\％ |  |
| Washington | 2．0\％\％ |  |
| Wisconsin | 1．9\％\％ 256,022 |  |
| Tennessee |  |  |
| Missouri |  |  |
| Maryland |  |  |
| Lousiana |  |  |
| Minnesota | 1．8\％『 $\quad$ 235，058 |  |
| Alabama |  |  |
| South Carolina | 1．5\％［．］． |  |
| Kentucky | 1．5\％\％\％x\％\％ 195,563 |  |
| Arizona |  |  |
| Colorado |  |  |
| Oklahoma |  |  |
| Iowa | 1．2\％\％ 164,052 |  |
| Oregon | 1．2\％ 157,642 |  |
| Connecticut | 1．2\％1\％ 155 ， 718 |  |
| Kansas | 1．2\％\％．．．． 154,745 |  |
| Mississippi | 1．2\％\％ |  |
| Arkansas | 0．9\％123，132 |  |
| New Mexico | 0．8\％［＂］100，602 |  |
| Nebraska | 0．7\％98，219 |  |
| West Virginia | 0．7\％『\％91，332 |  |
| Utah | 0．7\％滑 90，727 |  |
| Maine |  |  |
| Hawaii | 0．5\％縕 64，390 |  |
| Nevada | 0．5\％䛜 62，680 |  |
| Idaho | 0．4\％唑 57，164 |  |
| Montana | 0．4\％ 52,006 |  |
| New Hampshire | 0．4\％蓖 51，046 |  |
| Rhode Island | 0．4\％匈 46，670 |  |
| Alaska | 0．3\％43，978 |  |
| South Dakota | 0．3\％图 38，054 |  |
| Delaware | 0．3\％图 36，997 |  |
| Wyoming | 0．3\％图 35，780 |  |
| North Dakota | 0．3\％图 35,581 |  |
| Vermont | 0．2\％图 30，236 |  |

$$
\text { USA Total }=13,130,342
$$

Figure 4．Annotated Ranked Horizontal Bar Chart：
For When No Pie Chart Will Work

1991 Per Capita Consumption of Beverages in Six Categories Estimates from "Beverage World 1992-1993 Data Bank"



Figure 5. New, Improved Pie Chart

Local Tax Levy, 1986 to 1992
(Millions of Dollars)


Figure 6. End-annotated Vertical Bar Chart, Using SUM Option

```
Local Tax Levy, 1986 to 1992
(Millions of Dollars, and Annual Percent Change)
```



Figure 7. Custom End-annotated Vertical Bar Chart


Figure 8. Stacked Bar Chart Undesirable: How Many Dollars from Croquet?

1991 Club Sports Revenues, By Month


Figure 9. Side-By-Side Vertical Bar Chart Is Better


Figure 10. Composite Chart

Annual U. S. Beer Consumption

Gallons per Capita


Gallonage: John C. Maxwell, Jr., Wheat First Securities Reported in: "Beverage Industry", February 1990

Figure 11. Sparse Annotation, End-points and Maximum Only


